

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 . Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	-
10/532,693 01/03/2006		01/03/2006	Hiroaki Koyama	CSP-115-A	8753	
21828	7590	09/27/2006		EXAMINER		
CARRIER :	BLACKI	MAN AND ASS	LIN, KUANG Y			
24101 NOVI	ROAD	•				_
SUITE 100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NOVI, MI	48375.		1725		•	

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
			93	KOYAMA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit					
	_	Kuang Y.	Lin	1725					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	on appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILINg asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no ev on. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from slication to become ABANDONE	N. tely filed the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 April 2005</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
	•	minor							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/26/05 &amp; 1/3/06</u> .		5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/532,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 1725

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-060,845.

JP '845 shows a method for prolonging service life of the casting die by maintaining the compressive residual stress of a die cavity surface for more than 1000 MPa (see [0003], [0028]) through a shot-peening and a nitriding process. Thus, JP '845 substantially shows the invention as claimed except it does not disclose the surface roughness. However, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the die casting art that the die cavity surface shall be maintained as smooth as possible such that to increase the heat transfer rate between the cast metal and the die surface and also to obtain a better surface

Application/Control Number: 10/532,693

Art Unit: 1725

quality for the cast article. It would have been obvious to obtain the optimal roughness through routine experimentation. With respect to claim 3, it is conventional to use chrome molybdenum steel for making casting die as acknowledged by applicant as set forth in [007] of the instant specification.

Page 3

4. Claims 5, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-060845 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of JP 10-204,610.

JP '610 shows to prevent seizure in a die by forming a dense coating layer having a lubricating effect and a thermal insulating effect and to improve the service life of the die by forming a nitrided layer containing iron sulfide on the die cavity surface. It would have been obvious to further include the iron sulfide of JP '610 in the nitrided layer of JP '845 in view of the advantage.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuang Y. Lin whose telephone number is 571-272-1179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:00-6:30,.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,693 Page 4

Art Unit: 1725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57/1-272-1000.

Kuang Y. Lin Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1725